

# Family planning saves lives and improves health

## References

1. Barot S. Back to Basics: The Rationale for Increased Funds for International Family Planning. Washington, DC: Guttmacher Institute 2008. <http://www.guttmacher.org/pubs/gpr/11/3/gpr110313.pdf>
2. Singh S and Darroch JE, Adding It Up: Costs and Benefits of Contraceptive Services – Estimates for 2012, New York: Guttmacher Institute and United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), 2012. <http://www.guttmacher.org/pubs/AIU-2012-estimates.pdf>
3. UN. The Millennium Development Goals Report 2011. New York: United Nations 2011. [http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/11\\_MDG%20Report\\_EN.pdf](http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/11_MDG%20Report_EN.pdf)
4. USAID. Family Planning and the MDGs: Saving Lives, Saving Resources. Washington, DC: USAID Health Policy Initiative 2009. [http://www.healthpolicyinitiative.com/Publications/Documents/788\\_1\\_Family\\_Planning\\_and\\_the\\_MDGs\\_FINAL\\_June\\_09\\_acc.pdf](http://www.healthpolicyinitiative.com/Publications/Documents/788_1_Family_Planning_and_the_MDGs_FINAL_June_09_acc.pdf)
5. Singh S, Wulf D, Hussain R, Bankole A, Sedgh G. Abortion worldwide: a decade of uneven progress. New York: Guttmacher Institute 2009. <http://www.guttmacher.org/pubs/Abortion-Worldwide.pdf>
6. UK All Party Parliamentary Group on Population, Development and Reproductive Health. Better Off Dead? A report on maternal morbidity from the UK All Party Parliamentary Group on Population, Development and Reproductive Health. London: UK All Party Parliamentary Group on Population, Development and Reproductive Health 2009. <http://www.appg-popdevrh.org.uk/Publications/Maternal%20Morbidity%20Hearings/Maternal%20Morbidity%20Report%20-%20FINAL%20single%20page.pdf>
7. Guttmacher/IPPF. Facts on Satisfying the Need for Contraception In Developing Countries. Washington, DC: Guttmacher Institute and IPPF 2010. <http://www.guttmacher.org/pubs/FB-Unmet-Need-Intl.pdf>
8. Vlassoff M, Sundaram A, Bankole A. Benefits of meeting the contraceptive needs of Ugandan women. New York: Guttmacher Institute 2009
9. WHO. Strategic Considerations for Strengthening the Linkages between Family Planning and HIV/AIDS Policies, Programs and Services. Geneva: WHO 2009. <http://www.fhi.org/NR/rdonlyres/e2ezv6jvq2mpjahkzeuhhf2zaknlsqblowkf5k2jshorrkl4y7icrcdhm7epu4p6awcnh5udi37ej/FPHIVstrategicConsiderations2.pdf>
10. IPPF/WHO/UNFPA/UCSF. Sexual and reproductive health and HIV. Linkages: Evidence review and recommendations. London/Geneva: IPPF/WHO/UNFPA/UCSF September 2009. <http://www.ippf.org/NR/rdonlyres/61349CE8-C46B-4881-B289-D30E909BE88B/0/LinkagesEvidenceReview2009.pdf>
11. RHSC (2009) Make a Case for Supplies, Leading Voices in Securing Reproductive Health Supplies: An Advocacy Guide and Toolkit. Brussels: Reproductive Health Supplies Coalition.
12. Rutstein SO, Further evidence of the effects of preceding births intervals on neonatal, infant and under-five years mortality and nutritional status in developing countries: evidence from the demographic and health surveys, DHS Working Papers, Calverton, MD, USA: MacroInternational, 2008, N. 41